U.S. Department of Labor

Benefits Review Board 200 Constitution Ave. NW Washington, DC 20210-0001



BRB No. 20-0064

DANIEL HILL)	
Claimant-Petitioner)	
v.)	
NORTHROP GRUMMAN)	
and)	DATE ISSUED: 08/12/2020
INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA c/o AIG CLAIMS, INCORPORATED)))	
Employer/Carrier- Respondents)	ORDER

Employer and its Carrier have filed a timely motion for reconsideration of the Benefit Review Board's Decision and Order in the captioned case, suggesting the appropriateness of en banc review. *Hill v. Northrop Grumman*, BRB No. 20-0064 (May 27, 2020); 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(5); 20 C.F.R. §802.407(a), (b). As a majority of the permanent members of the Board has not voted to vacate or modify the Board's decision, Employer's motion for reconsideration en banc is denied.¹ 20 C.F.R. §§801.301(c), 802.407(d), 802.409.

By Order of the Board:

Thomas O. Shepherd, Jr. Clerk of the Appellate Boards

¹ Accordingly, Claimant's motion for additional time to respond to Employer's motion for reconsideration is denied as moot.